Supp. 1875. Corrections to Prof. Gyldén's Right Ascensions etc. 405

Washington Observations for 1870, which result from the observations of the Sun made at the several Observatories. They are deduced from the data given in § 5 of the paper referred to.

	S	Weight.	Years.
Greenwich	-0.028	18	1836-70
Pulkowa	-0.013	5	1842-50
Edinburgh	0.000	4	1836-43
Cambridge (Eng.)	+ 0.006	. 8	1833-56
Paris	+0.025	6	1854-65
Washington	+ 0.038	8	1862-69

The weights are those I actually assigned in the determination of the Equinox. As each series of observations is copiously discussed in the paper referred to, I am unable to account for the statement that I have probably omitted Paris, and given very great weight to Washington. A slightly greater weight was given to observations where the Sun culminates at a high altitude the entire year, and this course seems to me entirely justifiable.

As Dr. Gyldén's Right Ascensions are oso17 less than mine, the corresponding corrections to his Equinox would seem to be—

	S .
Greenwich	-0.011
Pulkowa	-0.003
Edinburgh	+0.014
Cambridge (Eng.)	+0.023
Paris	+0.042
Washington	+0.052

This discordance of the Equinoxes derived from the Greenwich and Washington Observations is something I am entirely unable to account for, more especially as it has, without the slightest alteration, survived a complete change of instruments in each Observatory. The state of the case seems to be that: while the two Observatories give the same result for the Sun's absolute Right Ascension, or for the moment of the Equinox—in comparing the Sun with stars, the Greenwich differences (\odot 's R.A. — *'s R.A.) is constantly I" greater than that observed at Washington.

Corrections to Professor Gyldén's List of Right Ascensions of 103 Fundamental Stars, May Number, pp. 349-356. Communicated by Prof. A. D. Wackerbarth.

"In the Right Ascensions themselves there is only one erroneous figure, the R.A. of ζ Aquilæ, which ought to be

[&]quot;p. 353, col. 1. 18h 59m 39^s·848.

"In the table of the differences from other Catalogues, the following figures should be introduced, instead of [or besides] those printed:—

	Star.	S-P.	S-B.J.
"p. 353.	η Piscium	+0.028	
	ξ^2 Ceti	+0.029	
	γ^2 Ceti		-0 060
p. 354.	α^2 Geminorum	1	+0.022,
	6 Cancri	+0.011	
	12 Can. Venat		-0.021
p. 355.	a Aquilæ	-	-0.023
p. 356.	γ Piscium	÷0'0I0."	
	"* A.R. in]	B.J. holds for	mean."

[&]quot; Uppsala, 1875, July 25."

Observations of Coggia's Comet (III, 1874).

By John Tebbutt, Esq.

Some months ago I sent the differential observations of Coggia's Comet (III, 1874). The comparison-stars, with the exception of two, have been since observed with the Melbourne Transit-circle, and their mean positions for 1875 o kindly communicated to me by Mr. E. J. White, the acting Government Astro-The places of the comparison-stars employed on August 24th and Sept. 7th will be determined at some future oppor-I have reduced the mean places to 1874'o, and thence obtained the apparent places for the dates of the comet-observations by means of the independent quantities on pages 330-337 of the Nautical Almanac. I have now much pleasure in communicating the resulting apparent Right Ascensions and North Polar Distances of the comet. I detected Encke's Comet by sweeping, without the aid of an Ephemeris, on the morning of the 7th ult. I have since found that both Sydney and Melbourne had been supplied with an Ephemeris, though, for some unaccountable reason, Windsor has been neglected in this matter. I am anxious to do what lies in my power for the due observation of Southern Comets, I shall be glad at all times to receive Ephemerides of these bodies.